UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. Jeremy Lance Robinson Defendant	Case No. 1:12 CR 250
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Refor defendant be detained pending trial.	rm Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
		lings of Fact
(1)		18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of at would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
		56(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is de	eath or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of to	•
	a felony committed after the defendant had been U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state of	convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but invo	lves:
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or a failure to register under 18 U.S.C.	destructive device or any other dangerous weapon § 2250
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed whor local offense.	ile the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presump person or the community. I further find that defendant	otion that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe has not rebutted that presumption.
		Findings (A)
√ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant h	nas committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et secunder 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)		lished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions I the safety of the community.
√ (1)	•	Findings (B)
√ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger	the safety of another person or the community.
		e Reasons for Detention
evidence	find that the testimony and information submitted at the a preponderance of the evidence that:	detention hearing establishes by <a> clear and convincing
one chi County using d dischar 2008, a	Id, with whom he has no contact. He is behind on child so the contact is behind on child so the contact has a long history of substance abuse since ally until his arrest in May. Defendant was convicted of the contact of a firearm. He served the maximum sentence and and now is charged with drug and firearms offenses againg and criminal history pose a danger to the community.	sets. He has been homeless for the last five months. He has support, and a warrant for his arrest has been issued in Macomb age 13; he graduated to methamphetamines, which he was numerous felonies in 1998, including armed robbery and was never paroled. He was convicted of a firearms crime in n. Defendant's personal history makes appearance unlikely and Regarding Detention
correctio appeal.	ns facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persor The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunit	y General or a designated representative for confinement in a ns awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending by to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United a person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the

Judge's Signature: /s/ Joseph G. Scoville

Name and Title: Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge

defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: December 3, 2012